1									
2									
3					FILED-SOUTHERN DIVISION CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT				
4					DEC 8 2009				
5									
6					CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY				
7									
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
10					4				
11	UNITED S	STATE	S OF AMERI	CA,	Case No.: \$\$09-2917 M				
12				Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION				
13	vs.	Ca	. 1	1					
14	JAGN		los PIAZ						
15				Defendant.)					
16 17				Ŧ					
	A. ()	On r	nation of the (I.	a case allegedly involving:				
19	1.	()	a crime of v		a case anegediy involving:				
20	2.	()			sentence of life imprisonment or death.				
21	3.	()			ubstance offense with maximum sentence				
22		()	of ten or mo		dostance offense with maximum semence				
23	4.	()		•	ant convicted of two or more prior				
24		()		cribed above.	and convicted of two of more prior				
25	5.	()			rwise a crime of violence that involves a				
26		, ,			n or use of a firearm or destructive device				
27					apon, or a failure to register under 18				
28			U.S.C. § 225						
			-						

1	В.	K	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case			
2		`	allegedly involving:			
3		()	On the further allegation by the Government of:			
4			1. (a serious risk that the defendant will flee.			
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:			
6			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.			
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or			
8			juror, or attempt to do so.			
9	C.	The C	e Government () is/(is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no			
10		condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's				
11		appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community.				
12						
13		II.				
14	A.	(H)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will			
15			reasonably assure:			
16		1.	the appearance of the defendant as required.			
17			→ and/or			
18		2.	the safety of any person or the community.			
19	В.	()	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence			
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.			
21						
22		III.				
23		The Court has considered:				
24	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether			
25			the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves			
26			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive			
27			device;			
28	В.	(X)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;			

Page 2 of 4

	II		
1	C.	(X)	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
2	D.	(X)	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.
3			
4			IV.
5		The	Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the
6	argun	nents	and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services
7	Repoi	rt/rec	ommendation.
8			
9			V.
10		The	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
11	A.	M	As to flight risk:
12			Backqrd, Conty ties unknown; buil resources unknown; Illegal Immigr status; assoc w/multipe personal identifiers
13			buil resources unknown.
14			Illegal Immigr status;
15			assoc w/multipe personal Identitiers
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
	В.	4	As to danger:
22			Cando of a color of mel
23			a naviotice trafficking offense:
24			communitary record, incl convis for a crime of violence, and a nevention trafficking offense; probation violation history
25			proparion 0/0/47/00 0/0/07
2627			
28			
20			

ROBERTA MILOCK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28

26

27